**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3297 OF 2006**

Shri Vipul V.Ijari ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri A.H.H.Ponda for the Applicant.

Shri S.V.More, A.P.P for the Respondent.

**CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.**
**DATE : SEPTEMBER 28, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. As per the leave granted by order dated 12th September, 2006, the Advocate for the Applicant has carried out amendment. In view of the amendment carried out, this Application (which was filed as an Application for leave) will have to be treated as an Application for bail in the Appeal against conviction. Office will have to correct the record accordingly.

The Appeal will have to be registered and numbered.

2. The Applicant has been convicted for offences punishable under section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act. The Applicant is also held guilty of the offence under section 13(1)(d) of the said Act. The substantive sentences have been directed to run concurrently. The maximum substantive sentence is of

rigorous imprisonment for three years. The entire fine amount has been deposited. The Applicant was on bail throughout the Trial. The Appeal has been admitted.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

4. Hence, I pass the following order:

(i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

(ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will report to the office of the A.C.P. Mumbai once in a month till the final disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE